

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/777,122 | 02/05/2001 | Heinz Greiner | 188.463 | 2422 |
| 20311 | 7590 08/26/2003 | | | |
| MUSERLIAN AND LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| | HIRD AVENUE YORK, NY 10016 | | NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3683 | · <u>-</u> |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 2 | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/777,122 | GREINER, HEINZ | | | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Lan Nguyen | 3683 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 7/7 &7/9/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply to a | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the control | If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 April 2003</u> . Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | inally rejected claims. | | | |
| $3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 3 and 7. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2 and 4-6. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | _L. | | | |
| 10. Other: | | JACK LAVINDER | | | |
| XLN | | RVISURY PATENT EXAMINER CHIJOLOGY CENTER 3600 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

